FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32 March 2023

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTS

Case Number:	22-2069		
<b>Short Case Caption:</b>	Masimo Corporation v. Apple Inc.		
Party Name(s):	Masimo Corporation		
schedule cases for oral a motion to reschedule is re	court uses this form to determine whether and when to argument. Arguing counsel may be changed later, but a equired once the court schedules argument. Please plan in limit on the number of arguing counsel in Fed. Cir. R. 34(e)		
Argument Waiver	☐ My party intends to waive oral argument.		
may still schedule this	this box must still complete the below sections. The court is case for oral argument even if any party intends to cheduled, parties may still elect to waive argument using foral argument form.		
Other Parties Represe	enting Interests		
$\square$ Counsel for another	party will represent my party's interests at oral argument		
	cked, skip the remaining sections. Any argument date will flict dates for counsel arguing on behalf of your party.		
Name of Expected Arg	guing Counsel Stephen W. Larson		
Dates Unavailable			
•	navailability within the specific sessions identified by the of Scheduling Conflicts in your case?		
☐ Yes    ✓ No			
include a statement s cause or that do not per accepted. The court will has filed an entry of apper or rejected conflict dates	te sheet listing <b>up to ten dates</b> of unavailability and <b>howing good cause for each date</b> . Dates without good rtain to arguing counsel (e.g., client conflicts) will not be lonly accept dates for one counsel and only if that counsel earance. The Clerk's Office will evaluate and note accepted s; counsel may contact the Clerk's Office about re-filing if Fed. Cir. R. 34(d); Practice Notes to Rule 34.		

FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32 March 2023

Potential Case Conflicts			
Are there other pending cases before this court (regardless of case status) in which expected arguing counsel in this case also expects to argue?			
✓ Yes □ No			
If yes, attach a separate sheet listing those cases.			
I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.			
Date: 05/26/2023	Signature:	/s/ Stephen W. Larson	
	Name:	Stephen W. Larson	

## Potential Case Conflicts for Appeal No. 22-2069

- Masimo Corporation v. Sotera Wireless, Inc., 2022-1393
- Masimo Corporation v. Apple Inc., 2022-1631 (consolidated)